

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,842	07/31/2003	Chia-Ta Hsieh	252016-2390	1038
47390 7	590 03/21/2005		EXAM	INER
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HOSTEMEYER & RISLEY LLP			NGUYEN, DAO H	
SUITE 1750		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, C	ATLANTA, GA 30339		2818	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/631,842	GEORGE O. SAILE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dao H. Nguyen	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	February 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate vatent Application (PTO-152)				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 Group I: Claims 1-15, drawn to semiconductor devices, classified in class 257, subclass 315.

Group II: Claims 16-59, drawn to methods of manufacturing semiconductor devices, classified in class 438, and subclass 201.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by other and materially different processes from those of the group II invention. For example, the gate structure of the device in group I can be made by first placing a mask with openings over the semiconductor region to expose portions of the semiconductor, then forming the gate structures in those openings. By performing such process, the gate structures need not to be patterned.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,842 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Stephen B. Ackerman on 03/04/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

- 6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 (one) month and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dao Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-1791. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner

Art Unit: 2818

by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax numbers for all communication(s) is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Dao H. Nguyen Art Unit 2818 March 11, 2005